

Deformed Boson Scheme Stressing Even-Odd Boson Number Difference. II

— *Unified Forms of Boson-Pair Coherent States in Even-
and Odd-Boson Systems* —

Atsushi KURIYAMA,¹ Constança PROVIDÊNCIA,²
João da PROVIDÊNCIA,² Yasuhiko TSUE³ and Masatoshi YAMAMURA¹

¹*Faculty of Engineering, Kansai University, Suita 564-8680, Japan*

²*Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, P-3000 Coimbra,
Portugal*

³*Physics Division, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520,
Japan*

Abstract

The boson-pair coherent state developed in Part (I) is generalized to the case in which the state is a mixture of even-boson and odd-boson number states. A general framework is shown and its three concrete examples are discussed. Main idea is in the application of the deformed boson scheme presented by the present authors.

§1. Introduction

In Part (I),¹⁾ various forms of boson-pair coherent states were investigated. The main aim of this study is to describe the time-evolution of many-boson system in terms of the time-dependent variational method. The boson-pair coherent states play the role of the trial states for the variation. In (I), we treated two types of the boson-pair coherent states separately, but intimately. One type consists of the orthogonal states with even-boson number and the other with odd-boson number. With the aid of this treatment, we can describe the time-evolution of many even- and odd-boson states separately, but intimately. However, the conventional boson coherent state consists of the orthogonal states with the even- and the odd-boson numbers, and then, it may be powerful for describing the case in which the initial state is a mixture of the even- and the odd-boson numbers. But, the mixture is restricted to be of a special form.

The main aim of the present paper, Part (II), is to present a possible form of the boson coherent state which consists of the orthogonal states with the even- and the odd-boson states. This is a superposition of the boson-pair states $|ch\rangle$ and $|sh\rangle$. The coefficients of the superposition are given by new parameters and their special case corresponds to the conventional boson coherent state. The basic idea comes from that proposed by the present authors in Ref.2). In Ref.2), we investigated the boson-pair coherent state under a close connection to the $su(1,1)$ -spin with the magnitudes $t = 1/4$ and $3/4$. In the present paper, this case is generalized to any one. The idea is based on the deformed boson scheme investigated by the present authors.³⁾ After a general framework is formulated, three examples which are treated in detail in Part (I) are investigated in concrete forms. In these treatments, Refs.4) and 5) play an essential role.

In §2, a general framework is presented. Section 3 is devoted to giving two examples which lead to an exact solution and an approximated one with high accuracy for the basic equations given in §2. One is closely related to the $su(1,1)$ -algebra. In §4, a straightforward generalization from the conventional boson coherent state is discussed in a possible approximated form. Further, in §5, the forms discussed in §§2, 3 and 4 are formulated in terms of the MYT boson mapping. Finally, in §6, the case discussed in §4 is reinvestigated in a form simpler than that given in §4.

§2. General framework

With the recapitulation of Part (I), let us, first, give a basic framework of our present form. In (I), we investigated two states $|ch\rangle$ and $|sh\rangle$ consisting of one kind of boson operator

$(\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*)$ separately, but intimately. They are defined as follows :

$$|ch\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma_{ch}}\right)^{-1} \|ch\rangle , \quad (\langle ch|ch\rangle = 1) \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\|ch\rangle = |0\rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{2n}}{\sqrt{(2n)!}} \tilde{f}(0)\tilde{f}(1)\cdots\tilde{f}(2n-1)|2n\rangle , \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\Gamma_{ch} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|\gamma|^2)^{2n}}{(2n)!} \left(\tilde{f}(0)\tilde{f}(1)\cdots\tilde{f}(2n-1)\right)^2 , \quad (2.3a)$$

$$|sh\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma_{sh}}\right)^{-1} \|sh\rangle , \quad (\langle sh|sh\rangle = 1) \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\|sh\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{2n+1}}{\sqrt{(2n+1)!}} \tilde{f}(0)\tilde{f}(1)\cdots\tilde{f}(2n)|2n+1\rangle , \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\Gamma_{sh} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(|\gamma|^2)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \left(\tilde{f}(0)\tilde{f}(1)\cdots\tilde{f}(2n)\right)^2 . \quad (2.3a)$$

Here, (γ, γ^*) denotes a set of complex parameters and $|k\rangle$ ($k = 2n, 2n+1$) is defined as

$$|k\rangle = (1/\sqrt{k!})(\hat{c}^*)^k|0\rangle , \quad (\hat{c}|0\rangle = 0) . \quad (2.4)$$

The function $\tilde{f}(k)$ characterizes a deformation of boson operator and obeys

$$\tilde{f}(0) = 1, \quad \tilde{f}(k) > 0 , \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots) . \quad (2.5)$$

It may be self-evident that the states $|ch\rangle$ and $|sh\rangle$ consist of even- and odd-boson number states, respectively.

Main aim of this paper, Part (II), is to investigate a unified form of $|ch\rangle$ and $|sh\rangle$. First, we introduce a state $|cr\rangle$ defined as

$$|cr\rangle = u|ch\rangle + (\gamma^*/|\gamma|)v|sh\rangle , \quad (\langle cr|cr\rangle = 1) \quad (2.6)$$

Here, (v, v^*) denotes a set of complex parameters additional to the set (γ, γ^*) . The quantity u is real and obeys

$$u^2 + |v|^2 = 1 . \quad (2.7)$$

If $v = 0$ or $u = 0$ ($v = \gamma/|\gamma|$), $|cr\rangle$ is reduced to $|ch\rangle$ or $|sh\rangle$, respectively. Further, $|cr\rangle$ is reduced to the state $|ex\rangle$ introduced in the relation (4.1) in (I) under the condition

$$u = \sqrt{\Gamma_{ch}/(\Gamma_{ch} + \Gamma_{sh})} , \quad v = (\gamma/|\gamma|)\sqrt{\Gamma_{sh}/(\Gamma_{ch} + \Gamma_{sh})} . \quad (2.8)$$

The above tells us that $|cr\rangle$ at three limits is reduced to the three types of the states which we have already investigated. In this sense, the state $|cr\rangle$ defined in the relation (2.6) is a

possible unified form of $|ch\rangle$ and $|sh\rangle$. It should be noted that the state $|cr\rangle$ is reduced to the conventional boson coherent state if $\tilde{f}(k) = 1$ under the condition (2.8). The above permits us to conclude that $|cr\rangle$ is a state stressing even-odd boson number difference.

After rather tedious calculation, the following relation is derived :

$$\begin{aligned}\langle cr|\partial_z|cr\rangle &= (1/2) \cdot (v^* \cdot \partial_z v - v \cdot \partial_z v^*) \\ &\quad + (1/2) \cdot (\gamma^* \cdot \partial_z \gamma - \gamma \cdot \partial_z \gamma^*) \\ &\quad \times \left[u^2 (\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{ch} + |v|^2 \left((\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{sh} - |\gamma|^{-2} \right) \right] ,\end{aligned}\quad (2.9)$$

$$(\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{ch} = \frac{d\Gamma_{ch}}{d|\gamma|^2} \cdot \Gamma_{ch}^{-1} , \quad (\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{sh} = \frac{d\Gamma_{sh}}{d|\gamma|^2} \cdot \Gamma_{sh}^{-1} . \quad (2.9a)$$

Instead of two sets (γ, γ^*) and (v, v^*) , we introduce two sets (c, c^*) and (η, η^*) which obey

$$\langle cr|\partial_c|cr\rangle = (1/2)c^* , \quad \langle cr|\partial_{c^*}|cr\rangle = -(1/2)c , \quad (2.10)$$

$$\langle cr|\partial_\eta|cr\rangle = (1/2)\eta^* , \quad \langle cr|\partial_{\eta^*}|cr\rangle = -(1/2)\eta . \quad (2.11)$$

The parameters (c, c^*) and (η, η^*) obeying the conditions (2.10) and (2.11) can be regarded as canonical variables in classical mechanics.⁴⁾ Our present problem is to express (γ, γ^*) and (v, v^*) in terms of (c, c^*) and (η, η^*) . For this purpose, we set up the following forms for γ and v :

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\sqrt{2}c} \cdot \sqrt[4]{F_{cr}} , \quad (2.12)$$

$$v = \eta \cdot G_{cr} . \quad (2.13)$$

Here, F_{cr} and G_{cr} are functions of $|c|^2$ and $|\eta|^2$ (or $|\gamma|^2$ and $|v|^2$), the explicit forms of which should be determined. The forms (2.12) and (2.13) give us

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^* \cdot \partial_c \gamma - \gamma \cdot \partial_c \gamma^* &= c^* \cdot (1/\sqrt{2|c|^2}) \sqrt{F_{cr}} , \\ v^* \cdot \partial_c v - v \cdot \partial_c v^* &= 0 , \\ \gamma^* \cdot \partial_\eta \gamma - \gamma \cdot \partial_\eta \gamma^* &= 0 , \\ v^* \cdot \partial_\eta v - v \cdot \partial_\eta v^* &= \eta^* \cdot G_{cr} .\end{aligned}\quad (2.14)$$

With the aid of the relation (2.14), the conditions (2.10) and (2.11), together with the relation (2.9), lead to

$$F_{cr} = |\gamma|^2 \left[u^2 (\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{ch} + |v|^2 \left((\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{sh} - |\gamma|^{-2} \right) \right]^{-1} , \quad (2.15)$$

$$G_{cr} = 1 . \quad (2.16)$$

The form (2.12) with the relation (2.15) gives us

$$2|c|^2 = |\gamma|^2 \left[u^2 (\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{ch} + |v|^2 \left((\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{sh} - |\gamma|^{-2} \right) \right] . \quad (2.17)$$

The relation (2.13) with the form (2.16) leads to

$$v = \eta . \quad (2.18)$$

The right-hand side of the relation (2.17) is a function of $|\gamma|^2$ and $|\eta|^2$ ($= |v|^2$), and then, by solving the relation (2.17) inversely, we can determine $|\gamma|^2$ as a function of $|c|^2$ and $|\eta|^2$. Thus, by substituting $|\gamma|^2$ into the relation (2.15), F_{cr} can be determined as a function of $|c|^2$ and $|\eta|^2$ and with the use of the form (2.12), γ can be expressed as a function of (c, c^*) and (η, η^*) .

Next, let us investigate the relations to the $su(1, 1)$ -algebra. In (I), we discussed the expectation values of the set $(\hat{\tau}_{\pm, 0})$ defined as

$$\hat{\tau}_+ = (\hat{c}^*)^2/2 , \quad \hat{\tau}_- = (\hat{c})^2/2 , \quad \hat{\tau}_0 = \hat{c}^* \hat{c}/2 + 1/4 . \quad (2.19)$$

The set $(\hat{\tau}_{\pm, 0})$ obeys the $su(1, 1)$ -algebra. It is instructive to investigate the expectation value of $(\hat{\tau}_{\pm, 0})$ for the $su(1, 1)$ -coherent state. The above realization provides the value $-3/16$ for the Casimir operator $\hat{C} = \hat{\tau}_0^2 - (\hat{\tau}_- \hat{\tau}_+ + \hat{\tau}_+ \hat{\tau}_-)/2$, namely, $C = t(t-1) = -3/16$. Thus, two lowest weight states occur, that is, $|0\rangle_0$ and $|0\rangle_1$ for $t = 1/4$ and $3/4$, respectively. Therefore, two type of the $su(1, 1)$ -coherent state can be constructed on the two lowest weight states. The $su(1, 1)$ -coherent state based on $|0\rangle_0$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma\rangle_0 &= (1 - \gamma^* \gamma)^{1/4} \exp(\gamma \hat{\tau}_+) |0\rangle_0 , \\ \hat{\tau}_- |0\rangle_0 &= 0 , \quad \hat{\tau}_0 |0\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{4} |0\rangle_0 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Here, this coherent state gives the expectation values as

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_+)_0 &= {}_0\langle \gamma | \hat{\tau}_+ | \gamma \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^*}{1 - \gamma^* \gamma} = \sqrt{1/2 + |c_0|^2} c_0^* , \\ (\tau_-)_0 &= {}_0\langle \gamma | \hat{\tau}_- | \gamma \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma^* \gamma} = c_0 \sqrt{1/2 + |c_0|^2} , \\ (\tau_0)_0 &= {}_0\langle \gamma | \hat{\tau}_0 | \gamma \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^* \gamma}{1 - \gamma^* \gamma} + \frac{1}{4} = |c_0|^2 + \frac{1}{4} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where we define $c_0 = \sqrt{1/2} \cdot \gamma / \sqrt{1 - \gamma^* \gamma}$. On the other hand, the $su(1, 1)$ -coherent state based on $|0\rangle_1$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma\rangle_1 &= (1 - \gamma^* \gamma)^{3/4} \exp(\gamma \hat{\tau}_+) |0\rangle_1 , \\ \hat{\tau}_- |0\rangle_1 &= 0 , \quad \hat{\tau}_0 |0\rangle_1 = \frac{3}{4} |0\rangle_1 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Here, this coherent state gives the expectation values as

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tau_+)_1 &= {}_1\langle\gamma|\hat{\tau}_+|\gamma\rangle_1 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\gamma^*}{1-\gamma^*\gamma} = \sqrt{3/2 + |c_1|^2} c_1^* , \\
(\tau_-)_1 &= {}_1\langle\gamma|\hat{\tau}_-|\gamma\rangle_1 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma^*\gamma} = c_1 \sqrt{3/2 + |c_1|^2} , \\
(\tau_0)_1 &= {}_1\langle\gamma|\hat{\tau}_0|\gamma\rangle_1 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\gamma^*\gamma}{1-\gamma^*\gamma} + \frac{3}{4} = |c_1|^2 + \frac{3}{4} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

where we define $c_1 = \sqrt{3/2} \cdot \gamma / \sqrt{1-\gamma^*\gamma}$. Here, c_i is the dynamical canonical variable. In general, the expectation value of $\hat{\tau}_0$ has the form $(\tau_0) = |c|^2 + t$. Therefore, $|\gamma\rangle_0$ and $|\gamma\rangle_1$, which give $C = -3/16$, provide the value $t = 1/4$ and $3/4$, respectively. The unified form of $|\gamma\rangle_0$ and $|\gamma\rangle_1$ can be given similar to the state (2.6) as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \alpha|\gamma\rangle_0 + \beta|\gamma\rangle_1 . \tag{2.24}$$

Here, it should be noted that α can be taken as a real number and it can be expressed in terms of β because of the normalization condition :

$$\langle\Psi|\Psi\rangle = \alpha^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 . \tag{2.25}$$

Thus, (γ, γ^*) and (β, β^*) can be regarded as dynamical variables. The expectation values of $su(1, 1)$ -generators are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\Psi|\hat{\tau}_+|\Psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^*}{1-\gamma^*\gamma} (\alpha^*\alpha + 3\beta^*\beta) , \\
\langle\Psi|\hat{\tau}_-|\Psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma^*\gamma} (\alpha^*\alpha + 3\beta^*\beta) , \\
\langle\Psi|\hat{\tau}_0|\Psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{1+\gamma^*\gamma}{1-\gamma^*\gamma} (\alpha^*\alpha + 3\beta^*\beta) .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Further, we obtain the following relation :

$$\frac{1}{2}(\langle\Psi|\dot{\Psi}\rangle - \langle\dot{\Psi}|\Psi\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(\beta^*\dot{\beta} - \dot{\beta}^*\beta) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^*\dot{\gamma} - \dot{\gamma}^*\gamma}{1-\gamma^*\gamma} \cdot (\alpha^*\alpha + 3\beta^*\beta) , \tag{2.27}$$

where $\alpha^*\alpha = 1 - |\beta|^2$ in Eqs.(2.26) and (2.27). Thus, we can introduce the set of canonical variables (b, b^*) and (c_Ψ, c_Ψ^*) as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}
b &= \beta , & b^* &= \beta^* , \\
c_\Psi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1-\gamma^*\gamma}} \sqrt{\alpha^*\alpha + 3\beta^*\beta} , & c_\Psi^* &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\gamma^*}{\sqrt{1-\gamma^*\gamma}} \sqrt{\alpha^*\alpha + 3\beta^*\beta} .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

By using these canonical variables, the expectation values in Eq.(2·26) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Psi|\hat{\tau}_+|\Psi\rangle &= c_\Psi^* \sqrt{1/2 + |b|^2 + |c_\Psi|^2} , \\ \langle\Psi|\hat{\tau}_-|\Psi\rangle &= c_\Psi \sqrt{1/2 + |b|^2 + |c_\Psi|^2} , \\ \langle\Psi|\hat{\tau}_0|\Psi\rangle &= |c_\Psi|^2 + |b|^2/2 + 1/4 .\end{aligned}\tag{2·29}$$

Here, from the normalization condition (2·25), we obtain $0 \leq |b|$ ($= |\beta|$) ≤ 1 . Thus, we conclude that t has the values from $1/4$ to $3/4$.

According to the previous paper (I), that is Eq.(4.15) in (I), the boson coherent states with even and odd boson numbers respectively which we introduced, namely $|ch\rangle$ and $|sh\rangle$, provide the expectation value of $\hat{\tau}_0$ as

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau_0)_{ch} &= \langle ch|\hat{\tau}_0|ch\rangle = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\right)_{ch} = \frac{1}{4} + |c|^2 , \\ (\tau_0)_{sh} &= \langle sh|\hat{\tau}_0|sh\rangle = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\right)_{sh} = \frac{3}{4} + |c|^2 .\end{aligned}\tag{2·30}$$

On the other hand, the expectation value of $(\hat{\tau}_{\pm,0})$ for the state $|cr\rangle$, which we denote $(\tau_{\pm,0})_{cr}$, are simply expressed as

$$(\tau_{\pm,0})_{cr} = u^2(\tau_{\pm,0})_{ch} + |v|^2(\tau_{\pm,0})_{sh} .\tag{2·31}$$

The explicit forms of $(\tau_{\pm,0})_{ch}$ and $(\tau_{\pm,0})_{sh}$ are given in the form (4.10) and (4.11) in (I). In the present case, the relation (2·31) with the relations (4.10), (4.11) in (I) and (2·17) presents us

$$(\tau_0)_{cr} = |c|^2 + |\eta|^2/2 + 1/4 .\tag{2·32}$$

Since $|\eta|$ ($= |v|$) has the value between 0 and 1, the state $|cr\rangle$ thus gives the value from $t = 1/4$ to $3/4$, where $t = 1/4 + |\eta|^2/2$.

The interest in (II) is concerned with the expectation value of $\hat{c}$ itself. It is given in the following form :

$$(c)_{cr} = uv|\gamma| \cdot \sqrt{\Gamma_{ch}/\Gamma_{sh}} \left(\tilde{f}(N)\right)_{ch} + uv^*(\gamma^2/|\gamma|) \cdot \sqrt{\Gamma_{sh}/\Gamma_{ch}} \left(\tilde{f}(N)\right)_{sh} .\tag{2·33}$$

The explicit form will be shown in some concrete examples.

§3. Two examples

In this section, we show two concrete examples. One is the case discussed in §6 of (I) and the other in §7 of (I). Let us start in the following case treated in §6 of (I) :

$$\tilde{f}(2n) = 2n + 1 , \quad \tilde{f}(2n - 1) = 1 .\tag{3·1}$$

In the treatment in (I), this case satisfies the relation of the Poisson bracket of the $su(1,1)$ -algebra. The quantities Γ_{ch} , Γ'_{ch} , Γ_{sh} and Γ'_{sh} are calculated as

$$\Gamma_{ch} = (1 - |\gamma|^4)^{-1/2} , \quad \Gamma'_{ch} = |\gamma|^2(1 - |\gamma|^4)^{-3/2} , \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\Gamma_{sh} = |\gamma|^2(1 - |\gamma|^4)^{-3/2} , \quad \Gamma'_{sh} = (1 - |\gamma|^4)^{-3/2} + 3|\gamma|^4(1 - |\gamma|^4)^{-5/2} . \quad (3.2b)$$

Thus, we have

$$(\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{ch} = |\gamma|^2/(1 - |\gamma|^4) , \quad (3.3a)$$

$$(\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{sh} - |\gamma|^{-2} = 3|\gamma|^2/(1 - |\gamma|^4) . \quad (3.3b)$$

With the use of the relation (3.3), F_{cr} defined in the form (2.15) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{cr} &= |\gamma|^2 \left[u^2 \cdot |\gamma|^2/(1 - |\gamma|^4) + |v|^2 \cdot 3|\gamma|^2/(1 - |\gamma|^4) \right]^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1 - |\gamma|^4}{1 + 2|v|^2} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Then, the relation for expressing $|\gamma|^4$ as a function of $|c|^2$ and $|\eta|^2$ is obtained :

$$|\gamma|^4 = 2|c|^2 \cdot \frac{1 - |\gamma|^4}{1 + 2|\eta|^2} . \quad (3.5)$$

The solution of Eq.(3.5) and F_{cr} are obtained as

$$|\gamma|^4 = \frac{2|c|^2}{1 + 2|\eta|^2 + 2|c|^2} , \quad (3.6)$$

$$F_{cr} = \frac{1}{1 + 2|\eta|^2 + 2|c|^2} . \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, γ can be expressed in terms of (c, c^*) as follows :

$$\gamma = \sqrt{c} \cdot \left[\sqrt[4]{1/2 + |\eta|^2 + |c|^2} \right]^{-1} . \quad (3.8)$$

The relation (2.31), with the aid of the relations (4.10) and (6.10) in (I), gives us

$$(\tau_-)_{cr} = (\gamma^2/2) \cdot \left(u^2 \cdot 1/(1 - |\gamma|^4) + |v|^2 \cdot 3/(1 - |\gamma|^4) \right) . \quad (3.9)$$

Substituting the relation (3.8) into (3.9), we have

$$(\tau_-)_{cr} = c\sqrt{1/2 + |\eta|^2 + |c|^2} . \quad (3.10)$$

It may be interesting to see that $(\tau_{\pm,0})_{cr}$ shown in the relations (2.32) and (3.10) still satisfies the relation of the Poisson bracket of the $su(1,1)$ -algebra with $t = 1/4 + |\eta|^2/2$ and t takes

the value between 1/4 and 3/4. This means that our present system is just mixture of the states with $t = 1/4$ and $3/4$ and $|\eta|^2$ plays a role of the parameter determining the degree of the mixture. Thus, it is possible to regard $|cr\rangle$ as a unified form of $|ch\rangle$ and $|sh\rangle$. The above form was also discussed in Ref.2).

Our interest is concerned with the expectation value $(c)_{cr}$ shown in the relation (2.33). In the present case, $(\tilde{f}(N))_{ch}$ and $(\tilde{f}(N))_{sh}$ are given as

$$(\tilde{f}(N))_{ch} = (1 - |\gamma|^4)^{-3/2} , \quad (3.11a)$$

$$(\tilde{f}(N))_{sh} = |\gamma|^2 (1 - |\gamma|^4)^{-3/2} . \quad (3.11b)$$

Then, $(c)_{cr}$ can be expressed in the form

$$(c)_{cr} = u \left(\sqrt{1 - |\gamma|^4} \right)^{-1} (v^* \gamma^2 + v) . \quad (3.12)$$

With the use of the relation (3.8), $(c)_{cr}$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned} (c)_{cr} &= \sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2} \cdot \left(\sqrt{1/2 + |\eta|^2} \right)^{-1} \\ &\times \left(\eta \sqrt{1/2 + |\eta|^2 + |c|^2} + \eta^* c \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

This form is identical to that derived in Ref. 2).

Next, we discuss the case which corresponds to that in §7 in (I) :

$$\tilde{f}(2n) = \sqrt{2n+1} , \quad \tilde{f}(2n-1) = 1 . \quad (3.14)$$

The quantities Γ_{ch} , Γ'_{ch} , Γ_{sh} and Γ'_{sh} are calculated as

$$\Gamma_{ch} = \exp(|\gamma|^4/2) , \quad \Gamma'_{ch} = |\gamma|^2 \exp(|\gamma|^4/2) , \quad (3.15a)$$

$$\Gamma_{sh} = |\gamma|^2 \exp(|\gamma|^4/2) , \quad \Gamma'_{sh} = \exp(|\gamma|^4/2) + |\gamma|^4 \exp(|\gamma|^4/2) . \quad (3.15b)$$

Thus, $(\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{ch}$ and $(\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{sh} - |\gamma|^{-2}$ are given as

$$(\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{ch} = (\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{sh} - |\gamma|^{-2} = |\gamma|^2 . \quad (3.16)$$

Therefore, F_{cr} is simply obtained in the form

$$F_{cr} = |\gamma|^2 \left[u^2 \cdot |\gamma|^2 + |v|^2 \cdot |\gamma|^2 \right]^{-1} = 1 . \quad (3.17)$$

Then, $|\gamma|^4$ and γ are given in the form

$$|\gamma|^4 = 2|c|^2 , \quad (3.18)$$

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\sqrt{2}c} . \quad (3.19)$$

The relation (2.31), together with the relation (7.9) in (I), gives us

$$(\tau_-)_{cr} \sim (1 - |\eta|^2) c \sqrt{1/2 + |c|^2} + |\eta|^2 c \sqrt{3/2 + |c|^2} . \quad (3.20)$$

The present case does not satisfy the relation of the Poisson bracket.

Our next problem is to calculate the expectation value $(c)_{cr}$. In this case, the following formula is useful :

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{f}(N))_{ch} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{|\gamma|^4}{2}\right)^n}{n!} \sqrt{2n+1} e^{-|\gamma|^4/2} \\ &\sim \sqrt{|\gamma|^4 + 1} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.21a)$$

$$(\tilde{f}(N))_{sh} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{|\gamma|^4}{2}\right)^n}{n!} \cdot e^{-|\gamma|^4/2} = 1 . \quad (3.21b)$$

Then, $(c)_{cr}$ can be expressed in the form

$$(c)_{cr} = u(v^* \gamma^2 + v \sqrt{|\gamma|^4 + 1}) . \quad (3.22)$$

The relation (3.12) gives us

$$(c)_{cr} = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2} (\eta \sqrt{1/2 + |c|^2} + \eta^* c) . \quad (3.23)$$

§4. An extension from the conventional boson coherent state

In this section, we treat the case

$$\tilde{f}(2n) = 1 , \quad \tilde{f}(2n-1) = 1 . \quad (4.1)$$

The above corresponds to the case discussed in §3 in (I). It is a straightforward extension from the conventional boson coherent state under the idea of introducing the parameter (v, v^*) . In this case, Γ_{ch} , Γ'_{ch} , Γ_{sh} and Γ'_{sh} are calculated in the form

$$\Gamma_{ch} = \cosh |\gamma|^2 , \quad \Gamma'_{ch} = \sinh |\gamma|^2 , \quad (4.2a)$$

$$\Gamma_{sh} = \sinh |\gamma|^2 , \quad \Gamma'_{sh} = \cosh |\gamma|^2 . \quad (4.2b)$$

The above relation gives us

$$(\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{ch} = \tanh |\gamma|^2 , \quad (4.3a)$$

$$(\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{sh} - |\gamma|^{-2} = |\gamma|^{-2} (|\gamma|^2 \coth |\gamma|^2 - 1) . \quad (4.3b)$$

With the use of the relation (4.3), F_{cr} is obtained in the form

$$F_{cr} = \left[u^2 \frac{\tanh |\gamma|^2}{|\gamma|^2} + |v|^2 \frac{|\gamma|^2 \coth |\gamma|^2 - 1}{|\gamma|^4} \right]^{-1}. \quad (4.4)$$

The parameter $|\gamma|^4$ can be determined through the relation

$$|\gamma|^4 = 2|c|^2 \cdot F_{cr},$$

i.e.,

$$|\gamma|^4 = 2|c|^2 \cdot \left[u^2 \frac{\tanh |\gamma|^2}{|\gamma|^2} + |v|^2 \frac{|\gamma|^2 \coth |\gamma|^2 - 1}{|\gamma|^4} \right]^{-1}. \quad (4.5)$$

However, we cannot determine $|\gamma|^4$ in terms of $|c|^2$. Then, in the same manner as that adopted in (I), we make approximation. Later, the idea will be shown.

Since, in the present case, $(\tilde{f}(N)\tilde{f}(N+1))_{ch} = (\tilde{f}(N)\tilde{f}(N+1))_{sh} = 1$, the relation (4.10) in (I) gives us

$$(\tau_-)_{cr} = \gamma^2/2 = c\sqrt{F_{cr}/2}. \quad (4.6)$$

In order to calculate $(c)_{cr}$, Γ_{ch}/Γ_{sh} ($= \coth |\gamma|^2$) and Γ_{sh}/Γ_{ch} ($= \tanh |\gamma|^2$) are necessary. With the use of the relation (4.5), $|\gamma|^2$ can be expressed in terms of $|c|^2$ and $|\eta|^2$. Since, in this case, $(\tilde{f}(N))_{ch} = (\tilde{f}(N))_{sh} = 1$, the form (2.33) leads to

$$(c)_{cr} = \sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2} \left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{\tanh |\gamma|^2}{|\gamma|^2}} \right)^{-1} \cdot \eta + \sqrt{2F_{cr}} \sqrt{\frac{\tanh |\gamma|^2}{|\gamma|^2}} \cdot \eta^* c \right]. \quad (4.7)$$

Of course, $\sqrt{\tanh |\gamma|^2/|\gamma|^2}$ can be expressed as

$$\sqrt{\frac{\tanh |\gamma|^2}{|\gamma|^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\tanh(\sqrt{2}|c|\sqrt{F_{cr}})}{\sqrt{2}|c|\sqrt{F_{cr}}}}. \quad (4.8)$$

It may be clear from the relations (4.6) and (4.7) that F_{cr} expressed in terms of $|c|^2$ and $|\eta|^2$ is necessary. This is our next task.

First, let us show an idea which is a straightforward extension of that given in (I). In the region $|\gamma|^2 \sim 0$, F_{cr} can be approximated as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{cr} &\sim (1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-1} + (1/3)(1 - 14|\eta|^2/15)(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-2} \cdot |\gamma|^4 \\ &\quad - (1/45)(1 - 4|\eta|^2/7 - 44|\eta|^4/105)(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-3} \cdot |\gamma|^8. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The above comes from the relation (4.4). With the use of the relation (4.5), iteratively, we obtain the following relation :

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma|^4 &\sim (1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-1} \cdot 2|c|^2 + (1/3)(1 - 14|\eta|^2/15)(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-3} \cdot (2|c|^2)^2 \\ &\quad + (4/45)(1 - 46|\eta|^2/21 + 376|\eta|^4/315)(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-5} \cdot (2|c|^2)^3, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

i.e.,

$$F_{cr} \sim (1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-1} + (1/3)(1 - 14|\eta|^2/15)(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-3} \cdot (2|c|^2) \\ + (4/45)(1 - 46|\eta|^2/21 + 376|\eta|^4/315)(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-5} \cdot (2|c|^2)^2. \quad (4.11)$$

In the region $|\gamma|^2 \rightarrow \infty$, the asymptotic form is given as

$$F_{cr} \longrightarrow |\gamma|^2[u^2 + |v|^2(1 - |\gamma|^{-2})] = |\gamma|^2(1 - |\eta|^2/|\gamma|^2). \quad (4.12)$$

With the use of the relation (4.5), we have

$$|\gamma|^2 \longrightarrow 2|c|^2 + |\eta|^2, \quad (4.13)$$

i.e.,

$$F_{cr} \longrightarrow 2|c|^2 + 2|\eta|^2. \quad (4.14)$$

Therefore, our problem is to find F_{cr} which leads us to the form (4.11) in the region $|c|^2 \sim 0$ and to the form (4.14) at the limit $|c|^2 \rightarrow \infty$. In Appendix of (I), we showed a possible method. In this paper, we adopt the following form :

$$F_{cr} \sim p \exp[-q \cdot (2|c|^2) - r \cdot (2|c|^2)^2] + 2|c|^2 + 2|\eta|^2. \quad (4.15)$$

If $q > 0$ and $r > 0$, the first term in the right-hand side of the form (4.15) asymptotically vanishes and the second term remains. We can prove that, if p , q and r take the values shown in the following, F_{cr} in the relation (4.15) is reduced to the form (4.11) :

$$p = (1 - 2|\eta|^2/3) - (4/3)|\eta|^2(1 - |\eta|^2), \\ q = (2/3) \left[(1 - 44|\eta|^2/45) - (14/9)|\eta|^2(1 - |\eta|^2)(1 - 2|\eta|^2/7) \right] \\ \times (1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-2} \left[(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-2} - (4/3)|\eta|^2(1 - |\eta|^2) \right]^{-1}, \\ r = (2/15) \left[(5/3) \left[(1 - 44|\eta|^2/45) - (14/9)|\eta|^2(1 - |\eta|^2)(1 - 2|\eta|^2/7) \right]^2 \right. \\ \times (1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-4} \left[(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3) - (4/3)|\eta|^2(1 - |\eta|^2) \right]^{-2} \\ \left. - (2/3) \left[(1 - 314|\eta|^2/315) - (376/315)|\eta|^2(1 - |\eta|^2) \right] \right. \\ \left. \times (1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-4} \left[(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3) - (4/3)|\eta|^2(1 - |\eta|^2) \right]^{-1} \right]. \quad (4.16)$$

Thus, we can express the expectation value $(\tau_-)_{cr}$ and $(c)_{cr}$ approximately in terms of (c, c^*) and (η, η^*) .

§5. Deformation in the boson mapping method

In Part (I), we formulated the boson-pair coherent states for the cases $u = 1$ and 0 independently and the relation to the MYT boson mapping method was discussed. In this section, we contact with the MYT boson mapping for the present case. For this problem, we have already developed this investigation in the case $(3 \cdot 1)^{2)}$ and, in this sense, the form treated in this section is a natural generalization from that developed in Ref. 2).

Following Part (I) and Ref.2), we introduce the following orthogonal set :

$$|-n\rangle = |n\rangle_d , \quad | +n\rangle = \hat{\zeta} |n\rangle_d . \quad (5.1)$$

The state $|n\rangle_d$ denotes

$$|n\rangle_d = (\sqrt{n!})^{-1} (\hat{d}^*)^n |0\rangle_d . \quad (\hat{d}|0\rangle_d = 0) \quad (5.2)$$

Here, $(\hat{d}, \hat{d}^*)$ is a set of a boson operator. Further, the operator $(\hat{\zeta}, \hat{\zeta}^*)$ denotes a set of a fermion operator obeying

$$\{ \hat{\zeta} , \hat{\zeta}^* \} = 1 , \quad \hat{\zeta}^2 = \hat{\zeta}^{*2} = 0 , \quad [\hat{\zeta} , \hat{d}] = [\hat{\zeta} , \hat{d}^*] = 0 . \quad (5.3)$$

We set up the relation between the original and the new space in the following way :

$$|2n\rangle \sim |-n\rangle , \quad |2n+1\rangle \sim |+n\rangle . \quad (5.4)$$

Then, the mapping operator $\hat{U}$ characterizing the MYT boson mapping is introduced in the form

$$\hat{U} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|-n\rangle \langle 2n| + |+n\rangle \langle 2n+1|) . \quad (5.5)$$

Clearly, there exists the relation

$$\hat{U} \hat{U}^\dagger = \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = 1 . \quad (5.6)$$

The operators $(\hat{\tau}_{\pm,0})$ and $\hat{c}$ are mapped on the following form :

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\pm,0} = \hat{U} \hat{\tau}_{\pm,0} \hat{U}^\dagger , \quad \tilde{c} = \hat{U} \hat{c} \hat{U}^\dagger . \quad (5.7)$$

Explicitly, they are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_+ &= \hat{d}^* \sqrt{1/2 + \hat{\zeta}^* \hat{\zeta} + \hat{d}^* \hat{d}} , \\ \tilde{\tau}_- &= \sqrt{1/2 + \hat{\zeta}^* \hat{\zeta} + \hat{d}^* \hat{d}} \hat{d} , \\ \tilde{\tau}_0 &= \hat{d}^* \hat{d} + 1/4 + \hat{\zeta}^* \hat{\zeta} / 2 , \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

$$\tilde{c} = \left(\sqrt{1/2 + \hat{\zeta}^* \hat{\zeta} + \hat{d}^* \hat{d}} \hat{d} + \hat{\zeta}^* \hat{d} \right) \cdot \sqrt{(1 - \hat{\zeta}^* \hat{\zeta}) / (1/2 + \hat{\zeta}^* \hat{\zeta})} . \quad (5.9)$$

The expressions (5·8) and (5·9) have been derived in Ref.2).

The operator $\hat{U}$ determines the image of $|cr\rangle$, which we denote as $|cr\rangle$:

$$|cr\rangle = \left(\sqrt{F_{cr}}\right)^{-1} u \exp \left[\frac{\gamma^2}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \hat{d}^* \frac{\tilde{f}(2\hat{M} + \hat{\mu})\tilde{f}(2\hat{M} + \hat{\mu} + 1)}{\sqrt{2\hat{M} + 2\hat{\mu} + 1}} \right] |m\rangle , \quad (5\cdot10)$$

$$|m\rangle = \exp \left[\frac{|\gamma|v}{u} \sqrt{\frac{F_{ch}}{F_{sh}}} \cdot \hat{\zeta}^* \right] |0\rangle , \quad (5\cdot10a)$$

$$\hat{M} = \hat{d}^* \hat{d} , \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{\zeta}^* \hat{\zeta} . \quad (5\cdot11)$$

For the case (3·1), i.e., $\tilde{f}(2n) = 2n + 1$ and $\tilde{f}(2n - 1) = 1$, we have

$$|cr\rangle = \sqrt[4]{1 - |\gamma|^4} u \exp \left(\gamma^2 \cdot \hat{d}^* \sqrt{\hat{M} + 1/2 + \hat{\mu}} \right) |m\rangle , \quad (5\cdot12)$$

$$|m\rangle = \exp \left[\frac{v}{u} \sqrt{1 - |\gamma|^4} \cdot \hat{\zeta}^* \right] |0\rangle . \quad (5\cdot12a)$$

The case (3·14), i.e., $\tilde{f}(2n) = \sqrt{2n + 1}$ and $\tilde{f}(2n - 1) = 1$, gives us

$$|cr\rangle = \exp(-|\gamma|^4/4) u \exp \left(\gamma^2/\sqrt{2} \cdot \hat{d}^* \right) |m\rangle , \quad (5\cdot13)$$

$$|m\rangle = \exp \left[\frac{v}{u} \cdot \hat{\zeta}^* \right] |0\rangle . \quad (5\cdot13a)$$

Further, the case (4·1), i.e., $\tilde{f}(2n) = 1$ and $\tilde{f}(2n - 1) = 1$, leads us to

$$|cr\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\cosh |\gamma|^2}\right)^{-1} u \exp \left[\gamma^2/2 \cdot \hat{d}^* \left(\sqrt{\hat{M} + 1/2 + \hat{\mu}} \right)^{-1} \right] |m\rangle , \quad (5\cdot14)$$

$$|m\rangle = \exp \left[\frac{\gamma v}{u} \sqrt{\coth |\gamma|^2} \cdot \hat{\zeta}^* \right] |0\rangle . \quad (5\cdot14a)$$

The above three states show us that they are the quite natural generalization of the forms discussed in §8 in (I). The form (5·12) is of the same form as that discussed in Ref. 2).

§6. Discussion

In §3, we have shown two examples which are the exact inverses of the relation (2·17), and in §4 the approximate relation has been shown. In this section, much simpler forms than the forms given in the previous sections are discussed, while the form given in §4 is too complicated.

For this purpose, we introduce two functions for $|\gamma|^2$, namely, $(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_1$ and $(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_2$, in the following forms :

$$(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_1 = |\gamma|^2 / \sqrt{1 + |\gamma|^4} , \quad (6.1)$$

$$(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_2 = |\gamma|^2 / (\sqrt{9/4 + |\gamma|^4} - 1/2) . \quad (6.2)$$

The behaviors of $\tanh |\gamma|^2$, $(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_1$ and $(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_2$ in the regions $|\gamma|^2 \sim 0$ and $|\gamma|^2 \rightarrow \infty$ are summarized as follows :

(1) In the region $|\gamma|^2 \sim 0$:

$$\tanh |\gamma|^2 \sim |\gamma|^2 (1 - (1/3)|\gamma|^4) , \quad (6.3a)$$

$$(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_1 \sim |\gamma|^2 (1 - (1/2)|\gamma|^4) , \quad (6.3b)$$

$$(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_2 \sim |\gamma|^2 (1 - (1/3)|\gamma|^4) . \quad (6.3c)$$

(2) In the region $|\gamma|^2 \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\tanh |\gamma|^2 \sim 1 - \varepsilon , \quad (6.4a)$$

$$(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_1 \sim 1 - \varepsilon , \quad (6.4b)$$

$$(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_2 \sim 1 + \varepsilon . \quad (6.4c)$$

Here, ε denotes a positive infinitesimal parameter. Then, concerning $\tanh |\gamma|^2$, we can conclude that in the region $|\gamma|^2 \sim 0$, the behavior of $(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_2$ is nearer that of $(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_1$ and in the region $|\gamma|^2 \rightarrow \infty$, the situation is in reversal. For $\coth |\gamma|^2$, we define $(\coth |\gamma|^2)_1$ and $(\coth |\gamma|^2)_2$ in the form

$$(\coth |\gamma|^2)_1 = (\tanh |\gamma|^2)_1^{-1} = \sqrt{1 + |\gamma|^4} / |\gamma|^2 , \quad (6.5)$$

$$(\coth |\gamma|^2)_2 = (\tanh |\gamma|^2)_2^{-1} = \left(\sqrt{9/4 + |\gamma|^4} - 1/2 \right) / |\gamma|^2 . \quad (6.6)$$

Under the above preparation, for two cases, we find $|\gamma|^4$ expressed in terms of $2|c|^2$. By substituting the forms (6.1) and (6.5) into the relation (4.5), we have

$$2|c|^2 = |\gamma|^4 \left(\frac{u^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\gamma|^4}} + \frac{|v|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\gamma|^4} + 1} \right) . \quad (6.7)$$

The relation (6.7) can be inversely solved in exact form, and through the relation $|\gamma|^4 = 2|c|^2 \cdot (F_{cr})_1$, we obtain

$$(F_{cr})_1 = (1/2) \left[(2|c|^2 + 2|\eta|^2) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{|\eta|^2}{\sqrt{(2|c|^2 + |\eta|^2)^2 + 4(1 - |\eta|^2)} + (2 - |\eta|^2)} \right) + \sqrt{(2|c|^2 + |\eta|^2)^2 + 4(1 - |\eta|^2)} \right] . \quad (6.8)$$

Further, substituting the forms (6.2) and (6.6) into the relation (4.5), we have

$$2|c|^2 = |\gamma|^4 \left(\frac{u^2}{\sqrt{9/4 + |\gamma|^4} - 1/2} + \frac{|v|^2}{\sqrt{9/4 + |\gamma|^4} + 3/2} \right). \quad (6.9)$$

The relation (6.9) gives us

$$(F_{cr})_2 = (1/2) \left[1 + (2|c|^2 - 2(1 - 2|\eta|^2)) \times \left(1 + \frac{2|\eta|^2}{\sqrt{(2|c|^2 - (1 - 2|\eta|^2))^2 + 8(1 - |\eta|^2) + (3 - 2|\eta|^2)}} \right) + \sqrt{(2|c|^2 - (1 - 2|\eta|^2))^2 + 8(1 - |\eta|^2)} \right]. \quad (6.10)$$

In the regions $2|c|^2 \sim 0$ and $2|c|^2 \rightarrow \infty$, F_{cr} , $(F_{cr})_1$ and $(F_{cr})_2$ are approximated as follows :

(1) In the region $2|c|^2 \sim 0$:

$$F_{cr} \sim (1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-1} + (1/3)(1 - 14|\eta|^2/15)(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-3} \cdot 2|c|^2, \quad (6.11a)$$

$$(F_{cr})_1 \sim \frac{2}{1 - |\eta|^2} + \frac{4 - 3|\eta|^2}{(2 - |\eta|^2)^3} \cdot 2|c|^2, \quad (6.11b)$$

$$(F_{cr})_2 \sim (1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-1} + (1/3)(1 - 8|\eta|^2/9)(1 - 2|\eta|^2/3)^{-3} \cdot 2|c|^2. \quad (6.11c)$$

(2) In the region $2|c|^2 \rightarrow \infty$:

$$F_{cr} \longrightarrow 2|c|^2 + 2|\eta|^2, \quad (6.12a)$$

$$(F_{cr})_1 \longrightarrow 2|c|^2 + 2|\eta|^2, \quad (6.12b)$$

$$(F_{cr})_2 \longrightarrow 2|c|^2 - 1 + 3|\eta|^2. \quad (6.12c)$$

It may be interesting to see that the relations (6.11) and (6.12) are in the situation similar to the relations (6.3) and (6.4). However, it should be noted that the form (6.8) or (6.10) are simple, and then, as a possible approximation, it may be useful for the case of the state $|cr\rangle$ characterized by the relation (4.1).

Finally, we give a short comment on the functions $(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_i$ and $(\coth |\gamma|^2)_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). These were introduced as numerical approximation of $\tanh |\gamma|^2$ and $\coth |\gamma|^2$. Then, forgetting the above-mentioned background, it may be interesting to investigate a problem which $|cr\rangle$ produces $(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_i$ and $(\coth |\gamma|^2)_i$ as $(\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{ch,i}$ and $(\Gamma'/\Gamma)_{sh,i}$ ($i = 1, 2$), respectively. By integrating $(\tanh |\gamma|^2)_i$ and $(\coth |\gamma|^2)_i$ by $|\gamma|^2$, we have

$$\Gamma_{ch,1} = \exp \left[|\gamma|^4 / (1 + \sqrt{1 + |\gamma|^4}) \right],$$

$$\Gamma_{sh,1} = |\gamma|^2 \cdot 2/(1 + \sqrt{1 + |\gamma|^4}) \exp \left[|\gamma|^4/(1 + \sqrt{1 + |\gamma|^4}) \right] , \quad (6.13a)$$

$$\Gamma_{ch,2} = \sqrt{\sqrt{9/4 + |\gamma|^4} - 1/2} \exp \left[|\gamma|^4/(3/2 + \sqrt{9/4 + |\gamma|^4}) \right] ,$$

$$\Gamma_{sh,2} = |\gamma|^2 \left(\sqrt{3/(3/2 + \sqrt{9/4 + |\gamma|^4})} \right)^3 \exp \left[|\gamma|^4/(3/2 + \sqrt{9/4 + |\gamma|^4}) \right] . \quad (6.13b)$$

As is clear from the relation (2.3), $\Gamma_{ch,i}$ and $\Gamma_{sh,i}$ should be regarded as the forms obtained by the sum of the power series expansion for $|\gamma|^2$. However, such functions as $\sqrt{1 + |\gamma|^4}$ and $\sqrt{9/4 + |\gamma|^4}$ cannot be expanded for $|\gamma|^2$ in the region $|\gamma|^2 > 1$. Therefore, in the present framework where $\|ch\rangle$ and $\|sh\rangle$ are of the forms shown in the relation (2.2), our idea is powerless, and we have to give the negative answer inevitably. In Part (III), this problem will be discussed where $\tilde{f}(2n - 1)$ and $\tilde{f}(2n)$ characterizing the deformation depend on the parameter $|\gamma|^2$.

References

- 1) A. Kuriyama, C. Providência, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **110** (2003), No.3.
- 2) A. Kuriyama, C. Providência, J. da Providência and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **104** (2000), 155.
- 3) A. Kuriyama, C. Providência, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **106** (2001), 751; ibid. **106** (2001), 765; ibid. **107** (2002), 65; ibid. **107** (2002), 443; ibid. **107** (2002), 1273; ibid. **108** (2002), 783; ibid. **109** (2003), 77;
- 4) T. Marumori, T. Maskawa, F. Sakata and A. Kuriyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **64** (1980), 1294.
M. Yamamura and A. Kuriyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 93 (1987), 1.
- 5) T. Marumori, M. Yamamura and A. Tokunaga, Prog. Theor. Phys. **31** (1964), 1009.